



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/754,007	01/02/2001	A. Storm	52637-0015	3442
29989	7590 08/05/2004		EXAMINER	
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP			TRAN, KHAI	
1600 WILLO	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
J ,	,		2637	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/754,007	STORM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KHAI TRAN	2637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	<u>ly 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2.3,5,10-17,22,23,26-29 and 37-41 is/ 7) Claim(s) 4-9,18-21 and 30-33 is/are objected to restriction and/or	are rejected. o.	·				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.8,9,11,12. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/754,007 Page 2

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

1. The preliminary amendment A filed 7/27/01 has been entered. Claim 1 has been canceled. Claims 2-41 are pending in this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 39-40 are narrative in form and do not contain positively recited **steps** of a specific process. Note that method claims should be set forth **a series of steps** in the active tense in an instruction-like manner thereby reciting an actual method. The claim only recites a **single step** without any additional **steps delimiting** how its use is actually practiced. Dependent claims (if applicable) should further limit base claims by reciting additional method steps in a likewise fashion. Ex parte Erlich 3UPQ2d 1011 at 1017 [6]

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 2637

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee-must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 2, 5, 11, 14, 16, 17, 22, 23, 26, 27-29, 37, 38, 39-41 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 8, 14, 24-28, 30, 35, 36, 45, 49-50, 59, 67-69 of copending Application No. 10/056,728. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims 2, 5, 11, 14, 16, 17, 22, 23, 26, 27-29, 37, 38, 39-41 of the instant application merely broadens the scope of the claims 1, 8, 14, 24-28, 30, 35, 36, 45, 49-50, 59, 67-69 of the co-pending Application No. 10/056,728 by eliminating the elements and their functions of claims 1, 8, 14, 24-28, 30, 35, 36, 45, 49-50, 59, 67-69 of the copending application. It has been held that the omission an element and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA). Also note Ex parte Rainu, 168 USPQ 375 (Bd.App.1969); omission of a reference element whose function is not needed would be obvious to one skilled in the art.

Regarding claims 2, 5, 11, 14, 16, 17, 22, 23, 26, 27-29, 37, 38, 39-41 of the instant application disclose a step of receiving data based on upon both modulated data and distortion introduced by the communication channel instead of noise as recited in claims 1, 8, 14, 24-28, 30, 35, 36, 45, 49-50, 59, 67-69 of the U.S. application '728. However; it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the noise in the communication channel because the

Application/Control Number: 09/754,007

Art Unit: 2637

noise can create the distortion. The both inventions are to reduce noise the distortion or the noise power.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

There interview by applicant on III global 5, 6. Claims 2, 3, 10-11, 12, 13, 14, 22-23, 24, 25, 26, 34-35, 36, 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright et al (U.S. Pat. 6,388,513).

Wright et al disclose a method for processing data received from a communications channel as shown in Figures 15 and 16, comprising: receiving, from the communications channel, received data based upon both modulated data and distortion introduced by the communication channel (a bulk delay, gain and phase stage 74 followed an FIR filter 76 which incorporates the frequency domain variations of these

Application/Control Number: 09/754,007

Art Unit: 2637

parameters); equalizing the receiving data using an equalizer to generate equalized data, wherein the equalizer uses an algorithm with a set of one or more coefficients selected to account for a frequency domain response of the equalizer (col. 27, lines 40-55). Wright et al fail to disclose a step of recovering an estimate of the original data by demodulating the equalized data. However, Wright et al disclose the coefficients of the FIR filter are stored in a data structure 78 that is indexed by input power or signal amplitude (col. 27, lines 40-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the coefficients of the equalizer stored in the storage to recover the original data.

Regarding claim 3, Wright et al disclose wherein the set of one or more coefficients being selected to reduce variations in the frequency domain response of the equalizer (col. 27, lines 40-55).

Regarding claims 10-11, Wright et al disclose a FIR filter 76.

Regarding claim 12, Wright et al disclose an A/D converter 68 (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 13, Wright et al disclose wherein communication channel is a twisted pair telephone line (col. 59, lines 48-67).

Claims 14, 22-23, 24, 25, 26, 34-35, 36, 38 are similar to claims 2, 3, 10-11, 12, 13. Therefore, claims 14, 22-23, 24, 25, 26, 34-35, 36, 38 are rejected under a similar rationale.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4, 6-9, 15, 18-21, 30-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wright et al (US 2002/0044014 A1) disclose an amplifier measurement.

Storm et al (US 2002/0131537 A1) disclose an approaching for processing data received from a communications channel to reduce noise power.

Blanchard et al (U.S. Pat. 5,703,903) disclose an adaptive filter.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI TRAN whose telephone number is (703) 305-1876. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAY PATEL can be reached on (703) 308-7728. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/754,007

Art Unit: 2637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wawawarfw KHAI TRAN Primary Examiner Art Unit 2637

KT August 3, 2004